



MPO TAC Meeting #2 March 6th, 2019

BEND AREA TRANSPORTATION SAFETY ACTION PLAN (TSAP)



MEETING AGENDA

- Introductions
- Meeting Goals
- Discussion: Crash Data Analysis
- Discussion: Emphasis Areas & Potential Outcomes
- Next Steps



INTRODUCTIONS

- Name
- Organization
- What are your interests related to safety in Bend?



MEETING AGENDA

- Introductions
- Meeting Goals
- Discussion: Crash Data Analysis
- Discussion: Emphasis Areas & Potential Outcomes
- Next Steps



MEETING GOALS: DESIRED OUTCOMES

• Focus on non-infrastructure outcomes

- TAC members & stakeholders share ideas and work to develop recommendations
- Discuss and confirm emphasis areas
 - TAC members to think about how these emphasis areas relate to their roles
- Develop understanding of potential outcomes
 - What are infrastructure outcomes
 - What are non-infrastructure outcomes

What the data means to you

Why your input matters

Share your input

MEETING GOALS: DESIRED OUTCOMES

- Infrastructure Outcomes
 - Changes in roadway infrastructure, environment, or operations
 - Example: Warning signs, Systemic crashes, hot spot crash areas
- Non-Infrastructure Outcomes
 - Education
 - Example: Educational campaigns about driving in snow/ice conditions
 - Enforcement
 - Example: Targeted enforcement on key corridors or at specific times, red light cameras, automated speed enforcement in crash areas
 - Policy
 - Example: Adopt a policy requiring an intersection control evaluation prior to implementing intersection control projects





MEETING AGENDA

- Introductions
- Meeting Goals
- Discussion: Crash Data Analysis
- Discussion: Emphasis Areas & Potential Outcomes
- Next Steps



WHAT THE DATA MEANS TO YOU?

- Data helps you:
 - Understand key issues and factors
 - Understand emphasis areas
- Data leads us towards program aspects of the TSAP

• TSAP is data informed, but not data restricted



WHY YOUR INPUT MATTERS?

• TSAP is data informed, but not data restricted

- Crash data does not tell the whole story
- Your input helps us understand risk factors



SUMMARY OF EMPHASIS AREAS

- Roadway Characteristics
 Vulnerable Users
 - Light conditions
 - Snow/ice
 - Intersection crashes
- Behavior
 - Speeding
 - Alcohol/drug use

- - Motorcycles
 - Pedestrians
 - Bicycles
 - Younger Drivers



CRASH DATA ANALYSIS REVIEW (2012-2016)

- Severity
- Trends by Time
- Roadway Characteristics
- Crash Characteristics
- Behavioral Characteristics
- Vulnerable Users



BEND CRASH ANALYSIS



Approximately 40% of all crashes resulted in some level of injury



BEND CRASH ANALYSIS



TRENDS BY TIME



Highest # of fatal/incapacitating crashes occurred in August



ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS ROAD SURFACE CONDITIONS



15

ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS



33% (14 crashes) of fatal/incapacitating crashes occurred in dark, dawn, or dusk conditions



CRASH CHARACTERISTICS

64% of fatal/incapacitating crashes were rear-end, turning movement, or angle





CRASH CHARACTERISTICS



Crash Count

BEHAVIORAL CHARACTERISTICS



- 16% of fatal/incapacitating crashes involved motorcycles
- 85% of motorcycle crashes resulted in injury or fatality
- Most common motorcycle collision types:
 - Turning movement, rear-end, and non-collision crashes



Bicyclist crashes account for 9% of fatal/incapacitating crashes in Bend





Pedestrian crashes account for 13% of fatal/incapacitating crashes in Bend







29% of fatal/incapacitating crashes involved drivers under age 25



SUMMARY OF EMPHASIS AREAS

- Roadway Characteristics
 Vulnerable Users
 - Light conditions
 - Snow/ice
 - Intersection crashes
- Behavior
 - Speeding
 - Alcohol/drug use

- - Motorcycles
 - Pedestrians
 - Bicycles
 - Younger Drivers



SHARE YOUR INPUT

- Do these emphasis areas make sense based on the data?
- Are there other areas more important?
- Are there items you expected to see but were missing?
- Other thoughts?



MEETING AGENDA

- Introductions
- Meeting Goals
- Discussion: Crash Data Analysis
- Discussion: Emphasis Areas & Potential Outcomes
- Next Steps



EMPHASIS AREAS & POTENTIAL OUTCOMES



Infrastructure (Engineering) Solutions

- Example of potential infrastructure outcomes
 - i.e., Install curve warning signage

Non-Infrastructure (Behavioral, Program) Solutions

- Example of potential non-infrastructure outcomes
 - i.e., Program: Addressing aggressive driving

General Format of Discussion



WHAT WE WANT TO GET FROM THIS CONVERSATION

- Share your thoughts:
 - What ideas do you have to address this issue?
 - What programs does your agency already do?
 - How can coordination and communication be improved?
 - Other thoughts?



EMPHASIS AREAS & POTENTIAL OUTCOMES

- Roadway Characteristics
 - Light conditions (dark, dawn, or dusk);
 - Road conditions (snow, ice);
 - Intersection crashes
 - Ideas? Programs Coordination Communication



Infrastructure (Engineering) Solutions

- i.e., Install lighting at key locations (curves, locations with crash history, intersections, etc.)
- i.e., Install reflective panels on sign posts
- i.e., Increase delineation (recessed pavement markers; post delineators)

Non-Infrastructure (Behavioral, Program) Solutions

• i.e., Policy: Design standards: Include lighting at all pedestrian crossings; all roundabouts; etc.





Infrastructure (Engineering) Solutions

- i.e., Install high friction pavement at key locations
- i.e., Install variable speed limits

Non-Infrastructure(Behavioral, Program) Solutions

- i.e., Maintenance programs
- i.e., Enforcement: Targeted in certain conditions
- i.e., Education: Driving in snow/ice



Infrastructure (Engineering) Solutions

- i.e., Install roundabouts
- i.e., Install backplates with retro reflective borders at signals

Additional ideas?

Non-Infrastructure (Behavioral, Program) Solutions

• i.e. Require intersection control evaluation prior to intersection improvement



EMPHASIS AREAS & POTENTIAL OUTCOMES

- Behavioral Characteristics
 - Aggressive driving (including speed);
 - Alcohol/drug use;

Ideas? Programs Coordination Communication



Infrastructure (Engineering) Solutions

- i.e., Design roadway to encourage appropriate speeds
- i.e., Install Dynamic Speed Feedback Signs

Non-Infrastructure (Behavioral, Program) Solutions

- i.e., Increased penalties for repeat offenders
- i.e., Enforcement: targeted in key locations and times
 - Summer months, weekend, late afternoon



Infrastructure (Engineering) Solutions

 Alcohol/drug use is primarily addressed through behavioral programs and enforcement

Non-Infrastructure (Behavioral, Program) Solutions

- i.e., Evaluate the potential effectiveness of legislative approaches
- i.e., Education



EMPHASIS AREAS & POTENTIAL OUTCOMES

- Vulnerable Users
 - Pedestrians;
 - Bicyclists;
 - Motorcycles;

Ideas? Programs Coordination Communication

– Younger Drivers



Infrastructure (Engineering) Solutions

- i.e., Install enhanced pedestrian crossings with warning beacons and pedestrian refuge islands
- i.e., Reduce speeds along corridors or in specific locations with high pedestrian activity

Non-Infrastructure (Behavioral, Program) Solutions

- i.e., High visibility enforcement
- i.e., Education for pedestrians
- i.e., Education for motor vehicle drivers



Infrastructure (Engineering) Solutions

- i.e., Install bicycle lanes or wide shoulders on certain routes
- i.e., Provide bicycle crossings on key routes across busy roads

Non-Infrastructure (Behavioral, Program) Solutions

- i.e., Promote bicycle helmet use with education
- i.e., Education for pedestrians
- i.e., Education for motor vehicle drivers



Infrastructure (Engineering) Solutions

 Motorcycle safety is primarily addressed through behavioral programs and enforcement

Non-Infrastructure (Behavioral, Program) Solutions

- i.e., Motorcycle helmet use laws
- i.e., Education for motorcyclists



POTENTIAL OUTCOMES YOUNGER DRIVERS

Infrastructure (Engineering) Solutions

Younger driver issues are primarily addressed through behavioral programs

Non-Infrastructure (Behavioral, Program) Solutions

• i.e., Education



SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION

- Any topics we did not cover?
- Any other questions?



DISCUSSION & NEXT STEPS

• Kittelson:

- Share meeting summary of outcomes discussed
- Draft recommendations
- Develop infrastructure project and systemic safety recommendations

TAC & Stakeholders:

 Share any comments by March 12th

- TAC Meeting #3 (early June):
 - Discuss draft plan, including infrastructure projects

Questions?

- Ashleigh Ludwig (aludwig@kittelson.com)
- Brian Ray (bray@kittelson.com)
- Jovi Anderson
 (janderson@bendoregon. gov)





43