

Citywide Transportation Advisory Committee Meeting #7

MEETING DATE: Tuesday, December 4, 2018

MEETING TIME: 1-5 p.m.

LOCATION: Trinity Episcopal Church, 469 NW Wall Street

Objectives

- Review scenario evaluation
- Reach preliminary recommendations on foundational projects and projects that are not included in the hybrid
- Discuss projects that could address key citywide needs
- Prepare for discussion of proposed hybrid and recommendation to Steering Committee on December 11

Agenda

Time	Topic	Desired CTAC Action (major actions in bold)	Lead
1 p.m.	<p>Welcome, review agenda, set expectations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introductions • Review agenda • Approve meeting 6 summary • Policy subcommittee sign up • Conflict declaration <p><i>Meeting #6 summary provided in packet.</i></p> <p><i>Sign up form for policy subcommittees provided at table.</i></p>	<p>Approve meeting summary</p> <p>Declaration of conflicts</p>	<p>Steve Hultberg, CTAC Co-Chair</p> <p>Kristin Hull, Jacobs</p>
1:15 p.m.	<p>Scenario Evaluation Results</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High-level takeaways for each scenario • Approach to sorting projects and development Citywide Hybrid <p><i>Scenario evaluation summary and map viewer provided in packet for review.</i></p>	CTAC discussion	Chris Maciejewski

2:05 p.m.	<p>Projects to keep and set aside</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approach • Breakouts <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Projects addressed outside of hybrid <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small group discussion • Electronic voting • Foundational projects <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small group discussion • Electronic voting • Large group discussion <p><i>PMT recommendations provided as attachments to scenario evaluation memo; also presented in map viewer.</i></p>	Direction on hybrids for Meeting 8	CTAC Co-Chairs and table experts: Chris, Kayla, Tyler, Karen
2:55 p.m.	Break		
3:05 p.m.	<p>Key citywide needs: East-West Mobility</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Summary of need and options • Breakout discussion • Report out (3 minutes per small group) • Identify themes <p><i>Options and tradeoffs provided in attachments to scenario evaluation memo; also presented in map viewer.</i></p>	Direction on options to continue to consider at Meeting 8	Co-Chairs; Kristin Hull, Jacobs
3:50 p.m.	<p>Key citywide needs: North/South Capacity in Eastern Bend</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Summary of need and options • Breakout discussion • Report out (3 minutes per small group) • Identify themes <p><i>Options and tradeoffs provided in attachments to scenario evaluation memo; also presented in map viewer.</i></p>	Direction on options to continue to consider at Meeting 8	Co-Chairs; Kristin Hull, Jacobs
4:15 p.m.	<p>Key needs: South and Central Highway 97 Corridor Capacity</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Summary of need and options • Breakout discussion • Report out (3 minutes per small group) 	Direction on options to continue to consider at Meeting 8	Kristin Hull, Jacobs

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify themes <p><i>Options and tradeoffs provided in attachments to scenario evaluation memo; also presented in map viewer.</i></p>		
4:50 p.m.	<p>Public comment</p> <p><i>10 minutes will be divided equally among those who wish to speak with a maximum of 2 minutes per person.</i></p>	N/A	Mike Riley, CTAC Co-Chair
5 p.m.	<p>Close and next meeting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Final thoughts Next meetings: December 11 	No action	Co-Chairs

Accessible Meeting Information

This meeting/event location is accessible. Sign language interpreter service, assistive listening devices, materials in alternate format such as Braille, large print, electronic formats and audio cassette tape, or any other accommodations are available upon advance request. Please contact Susanna Julber no later than November 30 at sjulber@bendoregon.gov or 541-693-2132. Providing at least 3 days' notice prior to the event will help ensure availability.

Public Comment

To manage meeting time, only one comment period will be provided at the meeting. We will divide allotted time equally amongst those who wish to speak with a maximum of two minutes per speaker. Speakers are encouraged to provide longer comments in writing.

Minutes

CTAC Meeting #6

Bend's Transportation Plan

November 13, 2018

Bend Municipal Court

555 NE 15th Street, Bend, Oregon



CITY OF BEND

CTAC Members

Katy Brooks, *Member*

Louis Capozzi, *Member*

Garrett Chrostek, *Member*

Casey Davis, *Member*

Karna Gustafson, *Co-Chair*

Hardy Hanson, *Member*

Steve Hultberg, *Co-Chair*

Sally Jacobson, *Member*

Suzanne Johannsen, *Member*

Gavin Leslie, *Member*

Nicole Mardell, *Member (absent)*

Katie McClure, *Member*

Ariel Mendez, *Member*

Mike Riley, *Co-Chair*

Richard Ross, *Member*

Mel Siegel, *Member*

Iman Simmons, *Member*

Sid Snyder, *Member (absent)*

Glenn Van Cise, *Member*

Dale Van Valkenburg, *Member*

Peter Werner, *Member (absent)*

Ruth Williamson, *Co-Chair*

Sharlene Wills, *Member (absent)*

Dean Wise, *Member*

Keith Wooden, *Member (absent)*

Travis Davis, *Alternate (absent)*

Ex-Officio Members

Dale Peer

Gregory Bryant, *(absent)*

Carolyn Carry-McDonald, *(absent)*

City Staff / Elected Officials

David Abbas, *Transportation Services Director*

Emily Eros, *Transportation Planner*

Russell Grayson, *Community Development Director*

Ben Hemson, *Business Advocate*

Tom Hickmann, *Engineering & Infrastructure Planning Director*

Susanna Julber, *Senior Policy Analyst*

Eric King, *City Manager*

Ian Leitheiser, *Assistant City Attorney*

Robin Lewis, *Transportation Engineer*

Ryan Oster, *City Engineer*

Joshua Romero, *Community Relations Manager*

Sally Russell, *City Councilor*

Consultants

Joe Dills, *Angelo Planning Group*

Lorelei Juntunen, *ECONorthwest*

Kristin Hull, *Jacobs*

Jon Skidmore, *Assistant City Manager*
Camilla Sparks, *Budget & Financial Planning Manager*
Karen Swirsky, *Senior Planner*
Jenny Umbarger, *Office Specialist II*
Mary Winters, *City Attorney*
Sharon Wojda, *Finance Director*

1. Welcome, introductions

Ms. Hull called the meeting to order at 1:05pm.

Member Williamson reviewed intended outcomes of the meeting.

2. Public Comment

James Dorofi with the Old Farm District requested assistance polling high school students regarding their thoughts on transportation.

Jeff Monson with Commute Options urged group to consider TDM and provided update on transportation options program.

Ms. Hull asked the group to declare any conflicts of interest. Member Hultberg, attorney, disclosed his representation of clients within the city of Bend. Member Gustafson disclosed her employment with Central Oregon Builders Association as the Government Affairs Director, representing owners and developers subject to SDCs. Member Van Valkenburg disclosed his employment with Brooks Resources, a real estate development company. Member Simmons disclosed her employment with St. Charles. Member Chrostek, attorney, disclosed his representation of clients within the city of Bend.

Ms. Hull asked for a motion to approve the previous meeting's summary. Member Bryant noted while he attended the previous meeting, his name was omitted from the summary. Motion to approve the August 22, 2018 meeting summary as amended to include Member Bryant's name was approved unanimously.

3. Overview – Initial Funding Assessment

Member Gustafson reviewed work of the Funding Work Group (FWG) since last CTAC meeting.

Ms. Juntunen reviewed what the Initial Funding Assessment (IFA) is and why it is necessary, its goals, principles, context, preliminary needs, potential funding tools, evaluation criteria, maximum revenue capacity and themes.

Members raised the following questions / discussion items:

- How much revenue could be generated if fees were raised?

- What percent of the General Fund is used for transportation?
- Does the \$500M in the GO Bond include debt service?
- How does financing a GO Bond work?
- What is the difference in how property taxes are used to fund a GO Bond vs the General Fund?
- The term 'Equity' used in the Funding Source Ranking Exercise table has changed to 'Fairness' in the meeting packet.
- Revenue numbers are dependent on rates charged.
- Committee is supportive of the 'Multnomah County Model' where fund generated from county vehicle registration fees are dedicated to specific projects.
- Clarification needed on disparity between amount of funds generated from county vehicle registration fees noted in the meeting presentation vs in Figure 1. Funding Sources Matrix.

Ms. Juntunen presented a high-level overview of the FWG's funding tool recommendations. Member Riley noted that transportation SDCs were recently increased, and clarified that a food and beverage sales tax relates only to prepared food and beverage. Member Gustafson clarified the FWG focused on a seasonal gas tax, though a year-round + seasonal variation is still a possibility. Ms. Sparks indicated 14% of the General Fund is going to Streets and Operations Maintenance.

4. Group funding breakout

Members met in assigned groups to develop questions about, and potential revisions to, the FWG's recommendations.

5. Funding recommendation

Ms. Hull asked for a straw poll on the FWG's recommendations. Ms. Eros reminded CTAC the intent of this meeting is to approve the IFA and its recommendations. Mr. Dills reinforced this meeting's work is reviewing the 'initial' part of the process. Ms. Juntunen noted the expectations that federal funds will be part of the plan and will be added to the IFA as information becomes available.

Report outs:

Member Hultberg's group had no strong suggestions of anything missed. They were concerned about feasibility and the political climate / passing funding measures in the future. They suggested a note to look back at the City's past successes and failures regarding funding in order to learn from them.

Member Riley's group expressed general support for the recommendations. They discussed alternative versions of 'users pay' and it being the most important principal, focusing more on 'pay-as-you-go', and considering the GO Bond as a last choice and keeping it small. They discussed the sense that existing residents should not pay for / subsidize new development, that

options were left open to apply several tools that reflect the 'users pay' approach and the use / amount / application of SDCs is still to be determined. Though the 'users pay' approach is a good one, the group has concerns about political liability, and how it will function with technology.

Member Williamson's group had consensus the FWG developed a comprehensive list of funding tools and to move forward with tools as-is. They discussed the tools' resiliency and what the community messaging might be as CTAC moves forward.

Member Gustafson's group desires that demand-response parking remain on the table for consideration, and potential for federal / grant money should be included as a footnote. They want to ensure the Steering Committee sees the IFA as a package with projects so they understand the return on investment. The group recommended not to lead with funding – perhaps a press-release indicating responsibility to look at funding sources. They also believe transportation SDCs need further discussion.

Mr. Dills identified the below as proposals for CTAC to vote on:

Item 4 discussion: Demand-responsive parking should be mentioned in the IFA as a potential tool and its revenue potential noted; we are recognizing that implementation will be phased and while it may not be one used immediately, we don't want to preclude it's possibility in the future; look at parking pricing through lens of demand management in other / supplemental tools bucket; potentially partnering with other entities within the community in initial stages, while researching and piloting project during first five years.

Question: Do we want to consider including parking pricing in the IFA as a supplemental tool?

Resolution: 11 yes, 9 no, 1 abstain

Item 5 discussion: Clarifying that federal grant funding is included in the IFA.

Question: Should the IFA reference federal grant funding?

Resolution: 21 yes (unanimous)

Item 6 discussion: Consider taking the IFA to the SC as a package including both projects and funding. It is intended to accompany the citywide framework as the TSP process is developing both funding and projects in tandem as a body of work. The discussion does not require a vote and is considered instructions to the co-chairs to develop language for presentation to the SC.

Question: All those in support of the IFA recommendation?

Resolution: 20 yes, 0 no, 1 abstain

Roundtable omitted from agenda by consensus.

6. Bicycle connectivity and Low-Stress Network

Ms. Eros reviewed performance measures for bicycling and project suggestions. Existing roads would be retrofitted with low-stress facilities, dependent on existing environments.

Question: Would CTAC like the project team to suggest modifications to existing scenario projects? This would be included in the scenario evaluation (report).

Question: Would CTAC like to include these four projects (in the scenario evaluation report)?

- LSN-1: Olney/Wall traffic signal modification and rail crossing surfacing work
- LSN-2: Butler Market, west of Brinson
- LSN-3: Wilson to railroad, with 3rd St traffic signal
- LSN-4: Brosterhous from Parrell to Brentwood, with canal bridge

Resolution: 21 yes (unanimous)

7. Introduction to scenario evaluation

Ms. Swirsky reviewed what to expect with the scenario evaluation between now and December 11th. Members are encouraged to bring mobile electronic devices (phone, laptop, etc.) to the December 4th meeting to facilitate electronic voting. Extra devices will be available, if need be. Maintenance costs are included in the scenario evaluation, assumed that deferred maintenance becomes capital costs. Subgroups to be established early 2019 to work on policies and performance metrics.

8. Public Comment

No public comment

9. Close and next meeting

Ms. Hull adjourned the meeting at 3:55pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Jenny Umbarger
Growth Management Department



Accessible Meeting/Alternate Format Notification

This meeting/event location is accessible. Sign and other language interpreter service, assistive listening devices, materials in alternate format such as Braille, large print, electronic formats, language translations or any other accommodations are available upon advance request at no cost. Please contact Jenny Umbarger no later than 24 hours in advance of the meeting at jeumbarger@bendoregon.gov, 541-323-8509, or fax 541-385-6676. Providing at least 3 days' notice prior to the event will help ensure availability.

DRAFT

CET: WHAT WE DO

Fixed Route Services

CURRENT SERVICE

9 routes - City of Bend
30 min headways
45 min headways
Mon – Sat Service

FUTURE CONSIDERATIONS

Expand to underserved neighborhoods
Traffic Signalization Prioritization
Increase frequency to 15 min/20 min
Extend to Sunday Service
Improvement of student and employee/employer transit connections

On Demand Services

CURRENT SERVICE

Bend Dial-A-Ride
Mon – Sun service
Rural Dial-A-Ride
Mon – Sun service

FUTURE CONSIDERATIONS

Use of technology for efficient scheduling
Work closer with human services partners on understanding needs

Community Connector Services

CURRENT SERVICE

7 routes
Serving:
La Pine, Bend, Sisters, Redmond, Madras, Prineville, Warm Springs

FUTURE CONSIDERATIONS

Increase frequency for Redmond-Bend
Enhance bus stop locations

Recreational Transportation Services

CURRENT SERVICE

Service to Mt Bachelor
Service to for Ride The River
Service to Lava Butte
Service for Ride Bend

FUTURE CONSIDERATIONS

Piloted service to Smith Rock State Park
Encourage locals to use RideBend

To: Citywide Transportation Advisory Committee (CTAC) & Steering Committee (CTSC)

Dear CTAC & CTSC members:

The City of Bend's Accessibility Advisory Committee (COBAAC) was established in 2010 to assist and advise the City on the most appropriate means by which to make its programs, services, activities and facilities accessible to all of Bend's residents and visitors as required under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) that covers State and Local Governments. COBAAC is made up of 9-12 volunteer citizens, a majority of whom are individuals with disabilities. We applaud you for taking on the daunting task of attempting to enhance and improve the City's Transportation System for all those that use it. Regardless of someone's ability, everyone should be able to use the City's transportation system whether that be to drive, use transit, bike, walk (or roll) from place to place.

Over the years COBAAC, along with the Accessibility Program, has championed projects that directly benefit pedestrian routes, such as the South 3rd St. Pedestrian Project (Summer 2017), Kearney Avenue Improvements (Summer 2017), Mountain View Neighborhood Corridor Improvements (Summer 2018) and many others. Many of these projects resolve barrier removal requests, submitted by individuals with disabilities' that the accessibility program receives.

The barrier removal request process was created to comply with the City's 2004 Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Settlement Agreement. The settlement agreement mandated persons with disabilities be provided with a means of informing the City of the accessibility its sidewalks or trails located within the public right-of-way.

As many of you are aware, the conditions of the City's sidewalks, where they exist, run from good to sub-standard to nonexistent. Consistently using the City's sidewalk system can be difficult to near impossible for all modes. Since 2013, COBAAC has continuously recommended that Bend's City Council create, fund, and staff efforts to

improve its sidewalk system via design, construction, and enforcement. COBAAC members respectfully recommend that CTAC prioritize sidewalk infrastructure as a critical and ongoing transportation requirement. COBAAC encourages CTAC members to consider sidewalks as a necessity for people who experience a disability and whose mobility is limited. Furthermore, sidewalk improvement, maintenance, and construction represent an ongoing gap in the city's current transportation plan and budget. Currently, the process for addressing deficient sidewalks is time consuming and burdensome, both programmatically and financially, for City staff and adjacent property owners.

Below is a list of areas that COBAAC would like to draw CTAC's attention to that need prioritization:

1. All streets over canals need dedicated pedestrian crossings (either sidewalks or separated pedestrian/bike bridges)
 - a. Especially the S.E. 3rd St. Canal Crossing near Big 5/Fred Meyer.
2. All main arterial and collector roads should have sidewalks on both sides for their entire duration, where technically feasible.
3. All roads with transit stops should have complete sidewalks.
4. Greater enforcement of snow/ice removal from sidewalks, especially those with transit stops.

COBAAC would like to thank you again for your service and commitment to making Bend an accessible and walkable community for all.

Best regards,

COBAAC

Nancy Allen – Chair

Dave Carlson – Vice Chair

Staff Representative – Karin Morris