



CITY OF BEND

**Downtown Bend Stakeholder Advisory Committee (DSAC)
Meeting #3 June 20, 2016
5:30 pm to 7:30 pm**

**City of Bend Council Chambers
710 NW Wall Street**

Those present included:

Downtown Stakeholder Advisory Committee members: Sarah Jordan, Karen LeTourneau, Monte Payne, Brett Yost, Shantelle Flick, Marie Matthews, ~~Kevin English~~, Parry Thomas, ~~Jeff Huey~~, Serena Dietrich, ~~Jim Dickey~~, ~~Eric Rock~~, Tom Fisher, James Lewis

Consultant Team & Staff: Rick Williams, Phill Worth, Joe Bessman, Anne George, Carolyn Eagan. Also Tyler Deke in audience.

Public: Mike Walker, downtown office property owner; Rod Porsche, Downtown Bend Business Association; Ron Boozell, resident Larkspur neighborhood.

I. Discussion

Overview: Phill Worth will walk through guiding principles one by one. After each principle is read and explained if necessary, he wants one of three responses: I support the statement as written; I am neutral about statement as written; or I oppose the statement as written. Goal is either neutral or support in order to keep as a principle.

Objective statement: Vote of strong support except for word "affordable".
Question of meaning of word affordable. Will discuss more as move forward.

Separated into seven themes for now.

1.City Role & Coordination

1a. City's primary role: Monte – wants the two points on equal basis. One is not submissive to other. Will use two bullet points instead.

Discussion mentioned that accommodate and facilitate are broad words. Lack of mention of employees. Phill noted that primary is different from exclusive. Add on-street as qualifier.

1b. Private sector: about roles. Explained roles.

1c. Centralized Management: none opposed.

1d. Effective Communications: Also captured elsewhere as a theme. None opposed. Maybe add something about technology. What does high quality mean? Monitored.

1e: Stakeholder Support: none opposed. Make up would be similar modal to this committee.

1f. Coordinated Management: Centralized management. is delivering coordinated management based on different needs of different areas. None opposed. Needs additional language to add groups like businesses, residents, employees. Term zone is vague. Hopefully data will help define term. Maybe district or area. Can come back to and change if necessary. Comment that zone may implying zoning.

2. Prior Users

2a: On-Street System (Downtown) Unanimous support

2b: On-Street (immediately adjacent): What does preserved mean? Not reserved. Priority users includes churches, library, parks. Preserved vs managed for. Would like some acknowledgement of secondary users. Can also think of as interim users. Non-priority users will be managed. Question about future mixed use areas and evolution of neighborhoods. What goes forward is not just two page document. Need something about secondary users. AUGMENT ALL NARRATIVE. Redraft this point and pilot test. Good support but need to acknowledge other users. Augement 2a as well. What are other options available? Residents and their guests. Take out word THE before residents

2c On-Street Turnover: Unanimous support

2d:Off-Street System: (majority of ownership is private) None opposed. One neutral with no comment.

3. Active Capacity Management

a. Optimize Utilization: Unanimous support

b. Resolve Constraints: Unanimous support

c: Shared Off-street Parking: (Requires active mgmt.) 2 neutrals. Questions was asked of how this relates to temporary actions – answer is it doesn't. Needs communication/signage.

d. Capacity Expansions: 2 neutral. It was commented that Development Code regulations must be consistent with goals. Brett: Transit and vehicle miles- don't want to impede these goals some of which are mandated by state. Leveraging parking with alternative modes and include pricing. Add discussion about alternative modes and code regulations as a form of leveraging capacity. Will call out in narrative.

4. Information Systems (Supply & Customer-based)

a. Monitor & Report Utilization: unanimous support

b. Product Quality: Augment narrative with technical reliability. Unanimous support

c. System Communications: One neutral. Add words like uniformity or consistency.

d. Branding and Wayfinding: (more expensive so separated out depending on resources) unanimous support

5. Integration with Other Modes

a. Downtown Multi-modal: unanimous support

b. Bicycle Parking: Unanimous support

c: Connections to Remote Vehicle Parking: A few neutral. Noted that it is an option for those that can use. Will require coordination with transportation which currently is nonexistent locally. Keep options open. Maybe seasonal.

6. Planning for the Future

a. Planning & Funding: two neutrals Obeying 85% rule. Presumption appears to be on creating new supply. Others don't take it that way. Add mention of bike and auto. Question was raised as to when there might be a cap. Can't keep adding to supply.

7. Financial Viability

a. Fiscal Stewardship: one neutral. Too simple/general. Built or maintained? Needs to be both.

Fee in Lieu Of was explained. Question as to whether committee has any input to requirements/rules for using fee in lieu of funds. Carolyn mentioned that Committee may have input on Municipal Code, Development Code and Capital Improvement Plan.

Community Input: Mike Walker: seems obvious that something important is missing and that is the office user. He owns two office buildings, one of them in downtown. He sees the possibility of potential abuse of private parking lots.

II. Next Steps/Action Items

- Consultants will make changes in marked up document. Will try to get out no later than week before next meeting.
- Data will be presented at next meeting.
- Summer data collection will be done in July on a Wednesday and a Saturday.
- By end of July meeting, there should be two products: Guiding Principles and data. Then can refine audience, how to package and when to present.

July meeting will be in a different location. July 21st at 5:30 pm.

As a final point, Rick commented that group has mostly consensus of principles. Just need to tweak.

Adjourned at @ 7:36 pm.